UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,117	05/19/2006	Gang Hyun Lee	9988.317.00	9802
	7590 08/05/201 DNG & ALDRIDG E L	EXAMINER		
1900 K STREE WASHINGTO	T, NW	CORMIER, DAVID G		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1711		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Applicat	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/580,1	17	LEE, GANG HYU	LEE, GANG HYUN			
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit				
		DAVID C	ORMIER	1711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Pr Reply	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with the	e correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statum to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no e cation. by period will apply and w by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this one of the NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 02 July 2010						
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 July 2010</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	•			prosecution as to th	e merits is			
٥,١	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	• ,					
-	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10,12-16,21 and 22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10,12-16,21 and 22</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Tojootoa.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement					
		ir aria, or olootion	roquiromoni.					
Applicati	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the E		_					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a))∐ accepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•		-				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	/ the Examiner. N	lote the attached Offi	ce Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•	(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International	•						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action fo	or a list of the cer	tified copies not recei	ved.				
Attachmen			4) T Intended 6	PTO 440)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) 🔲 Infori	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	-,	5) Notice of Informa	I Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L. Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/580,117

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments/Amendments

- 1. This Office action is responsive to the amendment filed on July 2, 2010. Claims 1-7, 10, 12-16, 21 and 22 are pending. Claims 1 and 10 have been amended.
- 2. The rejection of Claims 1-7, 10, 12-16 and 21-23 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Enokizono (US 5,337,500) in view of Kim (US 5,277,210) is withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendments.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-4, 10, 12 and 13 were provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1, 6-9, 14-16 and 19 of copending Application No. 10/580,115. Applicant has chosen to address the obviousness-type double patenting rejection at a later date. The currently amended claims in both the instant and co-pending applications are still considered to be obvious over each other.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting

ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 5. Claims 1-7, 10, 12-16 and 21-22 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 6-9, 14 and 15 of copending Application No. 10/580115. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations of the instant claims are substantially identical to, or broader than, the conflicting claims.
- 6. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID CORMIER whose telephone number is (571) 270-7386.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Barr/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit

1711

/DGC/

David Cormier

08/04/2010